

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

		······································	
FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY	
05/04/2001	Robert Julian Smith		CONFIRMATION NO
		99-068	7486
11/04/2004			
Jeffrey H. Rosedale ROHM AND HAAS COMPANY		EXAMINER	
		EGWIM, KELECHI CHIDI	
ce Mall West			
A 19106-2399		ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1713	
		DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004	
	05/04/2001 90 11/04/2004 edale	05/04/2001 Robert Julian Smith  90 11/04/2004 edale AAS COMPANY ce Mall West	FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.  05/04/2001 Robert Julian Smith 99-068  90 11/04/2004 EXAMI edale AAS COMPANY ce Mall West A 19106-2399

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/848,833	SMITH ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
	The MAILING DATE of this community	Dr. Kelechi C. Egwim	1713
Period fo	or Reply	nication appears on the cover sheet with	th the correspondence address
- Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur	nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum step to reply within the set as a second specified above.		(30) days will be considered timely.
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) fil	led on 27 August 2004	
2a)⊠	This and a second		
3)		2b) This action is non-final.	
Dispositio	closed in accordance with the pract	n for allowance except for formal matte tice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
			· 11, 400 O.G. 213.
7/E3/ A	Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-12</u> is/are pending i	n the application.	
5)∏ (	a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-11</u> is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed.	withdrawn from consideration.	
7) 🗆 (	Claim(s) <u>1,3-8 and 12</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•	
\ 8)□ (	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
Application	Claim(s) are subject to restrict n Papers	ion and/or election requirement.	
9)[] Tł	ne specification is objected to by the	Evaminor	
10)∐ Th	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	a) accepted or b) objected to by the	
	Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	Examiner.
11) Th	e proposed drawing correction filed	on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disa	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	rected drawings are requ	Jired in reply to this Office action	pproved by the Examiner.
12)[_] In	e oath or declaration is objected to b	by the Examiner.	
riority und	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) 🗌 Ad	cknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	19(a)_(d) or (f)
٠/١ ،	None of:	ä	· · · (u) · (u) · (u).
_	Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received.	
2.[	<ul> <li>Certified copies of the priority do</li> </ul>	ocuments have been received in Applia	cation No
3.[	-1 Cobies of the certified conies of	the priority documents to the contract of the	eived in this National Stars
* See	the attached detailed Office action for	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  or a list of the certified copies not rece	
14)LJ ACKI	lowledgment is made of a claim for o	domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 44	10(-) (1
	nowledgment is made of a claim for o	domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 1	receiveg. 120 and/or 121
		33	
Notice of [	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	4) Interview Summ	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
	n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	.948) 5) Notice of Inform	pal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Part of Paper No. 102404

Application/Control Number: 09/848,833

Art Unit: 1713

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims 9-11, drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 041003. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-8 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant originally filed discussion is silent with regards to the newly added negative limitation requiring that "the oxidizing agent is not added continuously", and further (new claim 12) requiring that the redox system be premixed with a mixture of iron and copper species. Applicant has failed to point out where such limitations were originally described or stated.

Application/Control Number: 09/848,833

Art Unit: 1713

Page 3

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 1, 3-8 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bauer et al., for reasons cited in the previous Office action.

### Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 08/27/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 7. Applicant's arguments are based on a new negative limitation that is not supported by the originally filed specification.
- 8. It is still reasonable that the product of Bauer et al. would possess the presently claimed molecular weights since the process steps are essentially the same as in the present claims and the USPTO does not have at its disposal the tools or facilities deemed necessary to make physical determinations of the sort. In any event, an otherwise old product is not patentable regardless of any new or unexpected properties.

Application/Control Number: 09/848,833

Art Unit: 1713

In re Fitzgerald et al , 619 F.2d 67, 205 USPQ 594 (CCPA 1980). See MPEP § 2112 - § 2112.02.

Even if assuming that the prior art reference does not meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 102, it would still have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to arrive at the same inventive process because the disclosure of the inventive subject matter appears within the generic disclosure of the prior art.

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1713

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Kelechi C. Egwim whose telephone number is (571) 272-1099. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T (7:30-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KCE

KELECHI C. EGWIM PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER